Exhibit 300 (BY2010)

	PART ONE					
	OVERVIEW					
1. Date of Submission:	2008-09-08					
2. Agency:	026					
3. Bureau:	00					
4. Name of this Capital Asset:	JSC Space Shuttle Program Flight Software					
5. Unique Project Identifier:	026-00-01-05-01-1418-00					

6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2010?

Operations and Maintenance

7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?

FY2005

8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap.

The SSP FSW IT investment allows NASA and our collaborating industry partner, United Space Alliance (USA) to provide the products and services required for maintaining, testing, reconfiguration, and configuration management of the onboard Shuttle software. The products and services include network management, systems management, engineering tasks, desktop management, and COTS software installation. It also includes the design, testing and operational deployment of customized hardware and software. FSW is a custom-built, unique environment. The SSP FSW is one functional area of a much larger Space Program Operations Contract. The SSP FSW flies the Space Shuttle; it does not have any e-Gov or e-business applications. Neither personnel actions nor financial decisions are made using SSP FSW. This software is a highly unique, non-COTS, non-public investment which is only used to fly the Space Shuttle.

9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?

yes

9.a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval?

2008-06-19

10. Did the Program/Project Manager review this Exhibit?

yes

11. Program/Project Manager Name:

Daryl A. Peltier

Program/Project Manager Phone:

(281) 483-5362

Program/Project Manager Email:

daryl.a.peltier@nasa.gov

11.a. What is the current FAC-P/PM certification level of the project/program manager?

Senior/Expert/DAWIA-Level 3

11.b. When was the Program/Project Manager Assigned?

2008-01-03

11.c. What date did the Program/Project Manager receive the FACP/PM certification? If the certification has not been issued, what is the anticipated date for certification?

2008-08-08

12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project.

yes

12.a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?

yes 12.b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only) 13. Does this investment directly support one of the PMA initiatives? If yes, select the initiatives that apply: Competitive Sourcing Financial Performance **Human Capital** 13.a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?) We build a team of highly qualified individuals representative of America's diversity. NASA completed a workforce analysis/restructuring plan to align human resources with NASA's mission, goals and objectives. The investments's financial management functions are accomplished under the NASA financial process which determines performance objectives/goals directly linked to budget reviews and guidance for the Agency. We follow the Federal and Agency procurement regulations for competitive sourcing. 14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? 14.a. If yes, does this investment address a weakness found during the PART review? 14.b. If yes, what is the name of the PARTed program? 10000346 - Space Shuttle 14.c. If yes, what rating did the PART receive? Adequate 15. Is this investment for information technology? 16. What is the level of the IT Project (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)? 17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council's PM Guidance) (1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment 18. Is this investment identified as high risk on the Q4 - FY 2008 agency high risk report (per OMB memorandum M-05-23)? 19. Is this a financial management system? 20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2010 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%) **Hardware** 1 Software 1 **Services** 98 Other 0 21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities? 22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions.

Herbert J. Babineaux

Phone Number

281-483-4263

Title

JSC Privacy Act Manager

Email

herbert.j.babineaux@nasa.gov

23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?

ves

24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas?

no

SUMMARY OF SPEND

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated Government FTE Cost, and should be excluded from the amounts shown for Planning, Full Acquisition, and Operation/Maintenance. The total estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for Planning, Full Acquisition, and Operation/Maintenance. For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

All amounts represent Budget Authority

(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)

	PY-1 & Earlier	PY	CY	BY
	-2007	2008	2009	2010
Planning Budgetary Resources	0	0	0	0
Acquisition Budgetary Resources	0	0	0	0
Maintenance Budgetary Resources	167.602	78.9333	78.2792	74.0918
Government FTE Cost	2.291	1.2033	1.2438	1.2861
# of FTEs	18	9	9	9

Note: For the cross-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies).

Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's?

no

3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2009 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes.

No Change.

PERFORMANCE

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding Measurement Area and Measurement Grouping identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond the next President's Budget.

	Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal Supported	Measure ment Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Planned Improvement to the Baseline	Actual Results
1	2008	Goal 1: Fly the Shuttle as safely as possible until its retirement, not later than 2010.	Customer Results	Delivery Time	Multifunction Electronic Display Sub-system (MEDS) Software Interim Release Deliverables. The delta code changes for the interim release are 100% technically correct and delivered on schedule. 100% accuracy for associated documentation.	100% accuracy and On Schedule Delivery	Maintain 100% accuracy and on time delivery.	Year-to- date 100%
2	2008	Goal 1: Fly the Shuttle as safely as possible until its retirement, not later than 2010.	Processes and Activities	Errors	Flight Software Avionics and Software System Support: Provide I-Load Selections 100% Accurate. No errors requiring redelivery which impacts I-Load development schedules or requiring patch for flight.	100% accuracy.	Maintain 100%	Year-to- date 100%
3	2008	Goal 1: Fly the Shuttle as safely as possible until its retirement, not later than 2010.	Processes and Activities	Errors	Vehicle and Payload Data Collection/Reconfigurati on: 100% Error Free. No errors that impact safety, mission success, or major program schedule milestones.	99.5%	Maintain 99.5%	Year-to- date 96.5%
4	2008	Goal 1: Fly the Shuttle as safely as possible until its retirement, not later than 2010.	Processes and Activities	Errors	Backup Flight System (BFS) Flight Software Software Approval Sheet (SAS) and test patches are 100% technically accurate, complete in content, and delivered on the negotiated schedule.	100%	Maintain 100% accuracy	Year-to- date 100%
5	2008	Goal 1: Fly the Shuttle as safely as possible until its retirement, not later than 2010.	Technolog y	Service Availability	Shuttle Avionics Integration Laboratory (SAIL) operations system availability = 100%. SAIL operations system non- availability¬ with no impact to safety, mission success, or major program schedule milestones to be no more than 5%.	98.4%	Maintain baseline	Year-to- date 96.5%
6	2009	Goal 1: Fly the Shuttle as safely as possible until its retirement,	Customer Results	Delivery Time	Multifunction Electronic Display Sub-system (MEDS) Software Interim Release Deliverables. The delta code changes for the	100% accuracy and On Schedule Delivery	Maintain 100% accuracy and on time delivery.	Year-to- date 100%

		not later than 2010.			interim release are 100% technically correct and delivered on schedule. 100% accuracy for associated documentation.			
7	2009	Goal 1: Fly the Shuttle as safely as possible until its retirement, not later than 2010.	Processes and Activities	Errors	Flight Software Avionics and Software System Support: Provide I-Load Selections 100% Accurate. No errors requiring redelivery which impacts I-Load development schedules or requiring patch for flight.	100% accuracy.	Maintain 100%	TBD
8	2009	Goal 1: Fly the Shuttle as safely as possible until its retirement, not later than 2010.	Processes and Activities	Errors	Vehicle and Payload Data Collection/Reconfigurati on: 100% Error Free. No errors that impact safety, mission success, or major program schedule milestones.	99.5%	Maintain 99.5%	TBD
9	2009	Goal 1: Fly the Shuttle as safely as possible until its retirement, not later than 2010.	Processes and Activities	Errors	Backup Flight System (BFS) Flight Software Software Approval Sheet (SAS) and test patches are 100% technically accurate, complete in content, and delivered on the negotiated schedule.	100%	Maintain 100% accuracy	TBD
10	2009	Goal 1: Fly the Shuttle as safely as possible until its retirement, not later than 2010.	Technolog y	Service Availability	Shuttle Avionics Integration Laboratory (SAIL) operations system availability = 100%. SAIL operations system non- availability¬ with no impact to safety, mission success, or major program schedule milestones to be no more than 5%.	98.4%	Maintain baseline	TBD
11	2010	Goal 1: Fly the Shuttle as safely as possible until its retirement, not later than 2010.	Customer Results	Delivery Time	Multifunction Electronic Display Subsystem (MEDS) Software Interim Release Deliverables. The delta code changes for the interim release are 100% technically correct and delivered on schedule. 100% accuracy for associated documentation.	100% accuracy and On Schedule Delivery	Maintain 100% accuracy and on time delivery.	TBD
12	2010	Goal 1: Fly the Shuttle as safely as possible until its	Processes and Activities	Errors	Flight Software Avionics and Software System Support: Provide I-Load Selections 100% Accurate. No errors	100% accuracy.	Maintain 100%	TBD

		retirement, not later than 2010.			requiring redelivery which impacts I-Load development schedules or requiring patch for flight.			
13	2010	Goal 1: Fly the Shuttle as safely as possible until its retirement, not later than 2010.	Processes and Activities	Errors	Vehicle and Payload Data Collection/Reconfigurati on: 100% Error Free. No errors that impact safety, mission success, or major program schedule milestones.	99.5%	Maintain 99.5%	TBD
14	2010	Goal 1: Fly the Shuttle as safely as possible until its retirement, not later than 2010.	Processes and Activities	Errors	Backup Flight System (BFS) Flight Software Software Approval Sheet (SAS) and test patches are 100% technically accurate, complete in content, and delivered on the negotiated schedule.	100%	Maintain 100% accuracy	TBD
15	2010	Goal 1: Fly the Shuttle as safely as possible until its retirement, not later than 2010.	Technolog y	Service Availability	Shuttle Avionics Integration Laboratory (SAIL) operations system availability = 100%. SAIL operations system non- availability¬ with no impact to safety, mission success, or major program schedule milestones to be no more than 5%.	98.4%	Maintain baseline	TBD
16	2008	Goal 1: Fly the Shuttle as safely as possible until its retirement, not later than 2010.	Mission and Business Results	Space Operations	Flight Software Avionics and Software System Support with no impact to safety, mission success or major program schedule milestones.	100% accuracy and On Schedule Delivery	Maintain 100% accuracy and on time delivery.	Year-to- date 100%
17	2009	Goal 1: Fly the Shuttle as safely as possible until its retirement, not later than 2010.	Mission and Business Results	Space Operations	Flight Software Avionics and Software System Support with no impact to safety, mission success or major program schedule milestones.	100% accuracy and On Schedule Delivery	Maintain 100% accuracy and on time delivery.	TBD
18	2010	Goal 1: Fly the Shuttle as safely as possible until its retirement, not later than 2010.	Mission and Business Results	Space Operations	Flight Software Avionics and Software System Support with no impact to safety, mission success or major program schedule milestones.	100% accuracy and On Schedule Delivery	Maintain 100% accuracy and on time delivery.	TBD

EA

demonstrates the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?

yes

2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy?

ves

2.a. If yes, provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.

JSC Space Shuttle Program Flight Software

3. Is this investment identified in a completed (contains a target architecture) and approved segment architecture?

ves

3.a. If yes, provide the six digit code corresponding to the agency segment architecture. The segment architecture codes are maintained by the agency Chief Architect.

463-000

4. Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/egov/.

Component: Use existing SRM Components or identify as NEW. A NEW component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.

Reused Name and UPI: A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.

Internal or External Reuse?: Internal reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. External reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.

Funding Percentage: Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the funding level transferred to another agency to pay for the service.

	Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	Service Type	Component	Reused Component Name	Reused UPI	Internal or External Reuse?	Funding %
1	Change Management	Both ASDEP and SAIL facilities employ Change Management to implement hardware and software changes.	Management of Processes	Change Management			No Reuse	15
2	Configuration Management	Both ASDEP and SAIL facilities employ Configuration Management to manage the IT resources with in these facilities.	Management of Processes	Configuration Management			No Reuse	15
3	Data Recovery	Data recovery is address by the disaster recovery plans established for both ASDEP and SAIL facilities.	Data Management	Data Recovery			No Reuse	1
4	Facilities Management	FSW Element has responsible for managing the ASDEP and SAIL computer	Asset / Materials Management	Facilities Management			No Reuse	2

		facilities				
5	Instrumentation and Testing	The SAIL Facility provides for the integration testing of software and hardware.	Development and Integration	Instrumentation and Testing	No Reuse	25
6	Software Development	The ASDEP facility provides access to test facilities as well as supports application software development type activities.	Development and Integration	Software Development	No Reuse	35
7	License Management	For both the ASDEP and SAIL facilities software procurement and licensing is provided by USA IM services.	Systems Management	License Management	No Reuse	1
8	System Resource Monitoring	System performance monitoring is used within the ADEP facility and provides inputs to the planning process	Systems Management	System Resource Monitoring	No Reuse	1
9	Software Distribution	FSW unique environment requires additional software to be installed on workstations.	Systems Management	Software Distribution	No Reuse	1
10	Software Distribution	FSW unique environment requires additional software to be installed on workstations.	Systems Management	Software Distribution	No Reuse	1
11	Software Distribution	FSW unique environment requires additional software to be installed on workstations.	Systems Management	Software Distribution	No Reuse	1

^{5.} To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component: Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications.

Service Specification: In the Service Specification field, Agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

	SRM Component	Service Area	Service Category	Service Standard	Service Specification (i.e., vendor and product name)
1	Data Recovery	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communications	Backup
2	Facilities Management	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Internet	n/a - Internet not supported in ASDEP

3	Change Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	Lotus Notes CM Database - IBM
4	Configuration Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services	Checkpoint FW - Checkpoint Corp. and Cisco switches from Cisco Corp.
5	Software Development	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Application Servers	Oracle Application Server - Oracle Corp. and CM Database Harvest - Computer Associates
6	Data Recovery	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Media Servers	Backup
7	Change Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Integrated Development Environment	CMSII application
8	Instrumentation and Testing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	SAIL internally-developed test tool
9	Software Development	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	Oracle - Oracle Corp.
10	Software Development	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Storage	IBM AIX RISC 6000 Hardware and DASD
11	Configuration Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	Windows, Linux and IBM AIX O/S platforms
12	Configuration Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Peripherals	CTS and CMS II - (USA Locally developed Tools)
13	Configuration Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Local Area Network (LAN)	CTS and CMS II - (USA Locally developed Tools)
14	System Resource Monitoring	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Patrol - BMC Corporation
15	System Resource Monitoring	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Patrol - BMC Corporation

6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)?

no

PART THREE

RISK

You should perform a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of the investment's life-cycle, develop a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

Answer the following questions to describe how you are managing investment risks.

1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan?

yes

1.a. If yes, what is the date of the plan?

2008-05-15

1.b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB?

no

COST & SCHEDULE

1. Was operational analysis conducted?

yes

1.a. If yes, provide the date the analysis was completed.

2008-05-15

What were the results of your operational analysis?

Operational assessments are continuously performed on capital assets to assess their performance and effectiveness in meeting critical mission operations objectives. This investment supports strategic Goal 1, Fly the Shuttle as safely as possible until its retirement, not later than 2010. The flight software is rigorously tested prior to its use in flight. All anomalies that are identified are investigated thoroughly, and appropriate action is taken to ensure that the software will perform nominally during flight. A Software Readiness Review is held prior to each flight to ensure that the software has been reviewed by all organizations that share responsibility for it, and all agree that it is ready for flight. A Performance Measurement System is used to track and monitor key metrics monthly to evaluate the effectiveness, efficiency, productivity, availability, and reliability of capital assets. Metrics data for the prior year and current year to-date indicate that the quality of the flight software continues to be extremely high. Operations and maintenance costs associated with these capital assets are reviewed monthly in conjunction with the metrics to identify early warning indicators of impacts to lifecycle costs and performance goals. Data from operational analyses are used to prioritize operations and maintenance costs to underperforming assets and/or the requests for new funding in the annual Program Operating Plan inputs. Users of the flight software, Shuttle astronauts, are involved in flight software testing in order to ensure that the software provides the needed functionality and performance characteristics. In addition, a representative of the Astronaut Office actively participates in the weekly meeting of the Shuttle Avionics Software Control Board (SASCB) where flight software issues, modifications, and schedules are discussed. When a need for additional functionality or enhanced performance is identified, the flight software is modified accordingly. The software modifications that are currently being implemented in Operational Increment 34 (OI-34) represent the final set of flight software modifications planned for the Shuttle Program. The first flight of OI-34 is scheduled for April 2009.